
Closed to the Public

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting Agenda No. 47
Wednesday, October 6, 2004, 2:00 p.m.
San Francisco, California

Commissioners
Michael R. Peevey, President
Geoffrey F. Brown
Susan P. Kennedy
Loretta M. Lynch
Carl W. Wood

This Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting will commence at the above date and time and may be continued from day to day up to the convening of the October 7, 2004, Business Meeting.

Website: <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov>

Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting
2:00 p.m.
Room 5305
505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco

For further information contact the Public Advisor
Phone: (415) 703-2074 E-mail: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

AGENDA

(Item numbers correspond to the October 7, 2004 Business Meeting Agenda numbers.)

NOTE: **Ex Parte Communications are prohibited on Agenda Items 3899, 3900, 3905, and 3906 from the day of the Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting through the conclusion of the Business Meeting at which a vote on the Proposed Decisions is scheduled. (Rule 7(c)(4)).**

- 3899** **A00-11-038 – Southern California Edison Company.**
For authority to institute a rate stabilization plan with a rate increase and end of rate freeze tariffs. A00-11-056, A00-10-028 – Related matters. The primary purpose of this decision is to adopt a cost allocation methodology that will be applied to the revenue requirement of the California Department of Water Resources for its power purchases in 2004 and beyond.
(Comr Brown, Comr Lynch – ALJ Allen)
Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.
Pub. Util. Code § 1701.1 – This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting (Agenda 3139, Item 38, 9/23/04 (Brown))
- 3900** **A00-11-038 - ALTERNATE TO ITEM 3899.**
A00-11-056, A00-10-028 – Related matters. This alternate uses the ALJ's proposed decision as a starting point, but reaches a different conclusion on the appropriate percentage unavoidable cost allocation to each utility. SDG&E is allocated a slightly lower percentage than under the ALJ's decision, with the remainder of the costs split between PG&E and SCE, in the manner to which they agreed in the Settlement Agreement.
(Comr Peevey)
Pub. Util. Code § 1701.1 – This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting (Agenda 3139, Item 38a, 9/23/04 (Brown))
- 3905** **A00-11-038 - ALTERNATE TO ITEM 3899.**
A00-11-056, A00-10-028 – Related matters. This alternate decision adopts the same allocation methodology as the Proposed Decision. DWR's revenue requirement is the same in this alternate as in the Proposed Decision. The alternate decision departs from ALJ Allen's draft decision by describing the \$290 million in excess monies the Energy Division has identified DWR would overcollect given its current revenue requirement.
(Comr Lynch)
(Agenda 3139, Item 38b, 9/23/04 (Brown))

3906

A00-11-038 - ALTERNATE TO ITEM 3899.

A00-11-056, A00-10-028 – Related matters. This alternate adopts a permanent allocation of the DWR revenue requirement by allocating the costs among utilities as follows: Costs up to market level are allocated on a cost-follows-contracts basis, and above market costs are allocated on an equal cents per kilowatt-hour basis.

(Comr Brown)

(Agenda 3139, Item 38c, 9/23/04 (Brown))